


NORTHERN UFO NEWS



MONTHLY NEWSLETTER OF THE NORTHERN UFO NETWORK

NORTHERN UFO NEWS

The Northern UFO Network is a communications and liaison medium for independant UFO organisations within the north of Britain. The Northern UFO News is a monthly forum of news, information and ideas. The views expressed herein do not, necessarily, reflect those of the groups as a whole.

EDITORIAL ADDRESS:: 48 Park Dr, Manchester, M16 0AH **
(** To Jan 76 only)

CONTENTS:: Issue 19 December 1975

- P. 3 :- Editorial - "To research or not to research"
- P. 4 :- Local group news
- P. 5 :- General News (Animal effects, media round up)
- P. 6 :- Bufora News Comment: 'Organisation, could be light'
- P. 7 :- Contact Established by Peter Bottomley
- P. 8,9- Investigation Procedures -1) Discovering reports
- P.10 :- INVESTIGATIONS :: Oct/Nov Flap report
- P.11 :- "" :: N. Ireland Report - Miles Johnston
- P.12 :- "" :: The Tyldsley Photo - MUFORA
- P.13 :- "" :: The Barton case - MUFORA
Yorkshire flap - BUFORA YORKS
- P.14,15 "" :: Brief Reports

@@

NEXT MONTH: UFOs & Science Fiction (Nigel Watson, SUFORS)
DIGAP case study reports BUFORA & CONTACT AGMs Latest news
@@

NOTICES: APPOLOGIES ARE DUE TO BYUG FOR MISSING THEM OFF THE ADDRESS LIST. THEY ARE NOW BACK IN TOUCH AND ARE PRODUCING AN EXCELLENT BI-MONTHLY MAGAZINE "AD ASTRA" Details from:-
A. Walsh 27 Tennyson St Bootle MERSEYSIDE

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY UFO RESEARCH GROUP would like any ideas on their research projects 1975-76. These include folklore & culture relating to UFOs, mythology, comparative study of contact cases, UFOs and the Roman Catholic Church, socio-cultural factors, a translation network, EM detector employment writeto: Bryan Jefferey St Catherines College Cambridge.

THE MIST AGENCY welcomes unusual reports of genuine alien activity. Strictly confidential. Reply Box 7

EDITORIAL:- To Research or not to Research
.....

It is interesting to speculate on what exactly is the function of a UFO organisation. Ought we to exist solely as a means of collating reports and attempting to do a thorough investigation job to isolate the wheat from the chaff? I think this has to be our prime objective, since all subsequent work (no matter who does it) depends entirely on the manner in which this is done. It is no use coming up with fancy research theses or statistical analyses IF these are based on poor raw materials. That is why the work currently being undertaken by Trevor Whitaker (BUFORA YORKS) to try to improve investigation standards is so important. Where does research work fit into this scheme? I am not advocating that groups or individuals should abandon all attempts to work with the data to produce results. Of course it is true that in ideal terms qualified scientists should be doing this, eg Dr Poher. However, in any field such as ours the work of amateurs will always be of value provided it is not thought that a solution to the mystery will be achieved by one man or group overnight. One can see certain groups have been involved with valuable projects in the past. The Sociological Analysis done by Mike Dean of WUFOS, being a key example, is well worthy of the updating he is giving to it for the New Year. Such results IF published to a general audience, as NUFON will do, can be of great interest. Why then is it that so few groups seem to wish to develop anything along these lines? It is here that NUFON could come into its own with exchange of data and ideas on such work. Yet there seems to be a dearth of ufologists in the north orientated that way - or a lack of willingness to come out into the open and ASK for help. This was shown by the apathy of groups to BUFORA's proposal which was mentioned earlier in the year to bring local groups closer into the research work they are undertaking on Data Evaluation, Physical Trace recording and Detection equipment. If you are not aware of the outlines of this work NUFON will elaborate. The offer is still open to you.

NUFON WISHES A HAPPY CHRISTMAS & NEW YEAR TO ALL UFOLOGISTS

UFO GROUP NEWS: :

Once more there are several new contacts to be featured. As previously noted NUFON attempted to bring in the two outlying groups of the EDINBURGH UNIVERSITY UFO RESEARCH SOCIETY (who are well known for their fine investigative work) and the BUFORA NORTHERN IRELAND BRANCH. Both have agreed to communicate and information from the latter is included in the sightings section of this newsletter. The two other newcomers hail from the Midlands: SPRING (Strange Phenomena Research & Investigation Notation Group) and NUFONIS - the Nottingham UFO group, who have decided to associate with our network. These groups will no doubt be part of the Midlands network, which will in any event be closely allied to NUFON.

Letters of invitation have gone out from NUFON to the other three proposed networks, plus BUFORA and CONTACT LAPRO have kindly offered the use of their Leicester Office committee room for a joint meeting, which we hope to arrange in the New Year to plan a coordinated inter group structure throughout Britain.

MUFORA ask groups to understand if they are experiencing any delay in communications. This is due to the fact that Chairman Peter Warrington has moved out into the Cheshire countryside recently. Letters to his former address are being forwarded. MUFORA and DIGAP held a successful joint open meeting in Manchester last month. The object was to prove UFO groups in a close environment CAN work together. Such a pooling of effort etc can be very useful in cases where several groups are placed within a few miles of each other. Could this be an incentive for more such ventures? The SHEFFIELD, DINNINGTON & ROTHERHAM group held a big open meeting in Sheffield on November 29. Hope it went well. This group are hopefully going to stage the third NUFON convention in the Spring. Finally SUFOR are still working on the UFO documentary film and Chairman, Nigel Watson, would very much like to hear from groups with ideas/or visual material as requested in last month's newsletter.

GENERAL NEWS: :

Full details of the November NUFON group discussion meeting are found elsewhere in this Christmas Extra package being distributed to groups. It was very well attended and several projects were put underway. These will be taken up on January 24th at the first Governing Committee meeting. One item emerging was the implementation of a Circular Letter System. This will bring in all the groups and is already on its way round the network. New cassette circuits have been introduced also, to improve group communication.

Some interesting data about animal disturbances has come my way from various groups and correspondants. It seems someone in Tarbock, Merseyside, has shot to pieces an unknown dirty grey animal 'like a raccoon' - in a UFO flap area too. Over in Nottinghamshire Cows have suddenly made a trip of several miles from one field to another (Teleports?) and sheep have been found badly decomposed. Then there was a pet sheep in Berkshire found stripped of its hide. Bryan Hartley, from Fylde, has a most interesting tape of USAs Big-Foot making odd noises. We hope to have this in the tape library soon. Someone once was doing a project on Animal Reaction and UFOs. Anyone interested???

The media have been featuring the subject in the past month. Especially the case of the Arizona Forrestry Workers who saw a UFO. One of the six was struck by a ray and then went missing for five days. If you have not read the full account of his contact with Ufonauts and physical examination I suggest you obtain the Daily Express, Nov 15th, where a full page report was carried. MUFORA is contacting Dr Hynek for more details owing to similarities between this case and the sighting at Cairo Mill, Oldham, in October 1972. MUFORA themselves were featured in an article in the Manchester Evening News, the same day. It was typically factual nonsense - eg that if you travel six light years at the speed of light it takes (wait for it) 200 years!! MUFORA have now decided not to use this media for publicity under any circumstances in future. SPUR seem to have better luck with their media. The Northants Evening Telegraph of November 14 carried a preview and on the 19th a review of the NUFON meeting. Well done SPUR.

BUFORA NEWS::

.....

The Nov/Dec issue of the BUFORA Journal has an article which summarises the statistical results of the NUFON 1970-74 report. There is also an interesting feature on the planet Mars and its possibilities for life. New ideas being worked on by the association are orientated towards investigation/research. For example research grants to be awarded for detailed research work and a special competition on future Ufological research work. Ways and means are also being devised to make BUFORA research data more readily available by reorganising the filing systems. It is also to be noted as a worthy innovation that under the new constitution there will be postal ballots on major issues and elections. Will certainly benefit those members who live some way from London. If, however, you have some excess cash after Christmas a trip to the Kensington Central Library will be well worth while. On Jan 3 BUFORA JOURNAL Editor Richard Beet is giving an illustrated talk on UFOs and the Press and on Feb 7 there is a very promising Research Evening for reports and general discussion on current research work. Meetings start at 7pm. Non-BUFORA members welcome

COMMENT:: Organisation, could be light. Bryan Ratcliffe

.....

There is one medium which surrounds us abundantly every day of our lives, ordinary light. It has an electromagnetic field and recent discoveries prove that we do not really understand it. Perhaps we should re-examine Newtons 'Queries' on light. The wave length of light vibrations are between 4000 and 8000 AU's (1 millionth part of a centimetre) The six primary colours generates its own wavelength, and each is a major part of UFO reports. Beyond the red we reach the infra-red heat world, often an aspect of landing/sighting reports. At the other end is the UV world of invisibility. Is it a coincidence that the majority of UFO shapes are similar to a convex lens? A curious idea of Newtons was that objects react to light waves acting on molecules and reach a point where they heat automatically by light emission. Perhaps someone has developed this and produced a free fuel motor which gives a weak static electricity emission when motionless and when operating a serious electric shock. EM forces as a side effect could account for electrical power failure

Comment Cont:

.....

and the bending of car headlights. The light motor could also account for the hum or whine associated with UFOs. Such experimentation with light waves is well within the capacity of amateur groups. One the next landing site investigation it may pay to leave the Geiger counter at home and take our photon measurer instead.

CONTACT ESTABLISHED::

.....

The following is a summation of the first (?) open contact made by APEN(*). It seems they have been supplying many of NUFONs associate groups with diverse pieces of 'information'. This has consisted of humorous (dare I say ludicrous?) taped messages containing voices with different accents, giving different information/instruction to the recipient and photostat copies of 'documents' which are immediately suspect because of their over-officiousness. At the time that contact was established I knew very little of this. I have been a keen Ufologist for ten years but have only recently become 'active' in the field. I joined BUFORA in August and have since, via APEN, been thrown into contact with NUFON - so they have been of some use at least. I was sitting at home watching TV. The kids were in bed and my wife was visiting a friend. There was a knock at the door and on answering I saw two gentlemen whom I did not recognise. They announced themselves as 'APEN Operatives' but preferred not to give names. They proceeded to outline APENs aims and functions. It is "a very widespread organisation with HQ in America." They aim "to initiate and sustain a fully coordinated research/investigation network" and they prefer to remain undercover because, "they can operate more efficiently in this manner and their constitution requires it." To me this all sounded reasonable as I had no other knowledge. They went on to say that the present one way communication was a source of worry to them. They needed other groups to contact them direct, but being undercover this was not possible. They gave the matter much thought and came up with an answer. They decided to contact me as a 'neutral', with no contact with any of the parties involved, to act as an information collecting centre. If I agreed, they said, they would give me one address whereby I could contact them and they would refund my expenses. In return they promised help with my personal research. They declined to say how they

knew of me and left saying they would contact me again for my answer. I then discussed the idea with Gordon Clegg (BUFORA RIC) who though not as impressed as I expected thought it might be worth a try. Next I contacted Jenny Landles and discussed the matter with her. Jenny's comment 'Pardon my lack of enthusiasm' gave me food for thought. Shortly afterwards Peter Warrington and Jeff Porter paid me a visit and showed me the documents and played the tapes. I could see why people were not impressed. We threw the matter around, finally reaching the conclusion that such a liaison would be pointless. In all fairness I must stress that Pete and Jeff said they did not want to influence me. The decision was mine alone. My wife urged me not to "have anything to do with a set up so blattantly childish as APEN. Such antics do nothing to enhance the respectability of what you are trying to do." I gave the matter some thought and decided that any help they may give in my research could in no way balance the harm they have done and will continue to do unless stopped. Accordingly I wish to take this opportunity of publicly dis-associating myself with APEN. Signed PETER BOTTOMLEY
(* This is not intended to lead to a further general debate on APEN. They know our feelings. If they cannot trust NUFON and come openly to us with information EXCHANGE then we are not interested. There are more important things to do)

INVESTIGATION PROCEDURES:- 1) Discovering Reports

.....
(As you may know NUFON has for some time been considering the publication of an investigation procedure guideline. BUFORA, however, intend to publish their 'Investigators Manual' shortly and therefore, not wishing to compete with what will undoubtedly be a valuable contribution, we have decided instead to publish our own outline as a series each month)

An essential prelude to any investigation is the discovery of sighting reports to investigate. Even for long established UFO groups such things do not entirely fall like manna from heaven. For the independent researcher it is more difficult still. We shall consider it from the view point of a group, though many of the suggestions will be relevant in both instances.

A group will vary in size from two members upwards. Obviously the larger the membership, the better the chance of receiving reports direct from members or acquaintances. This is useful, BUT it is not a good thing to have 90% of your files made up of 'non independent' material. Whatever the truth, some one somewhere will question the scientific validity of this evidence. Naturally one must spread ones contacts as far afield as possible. A useful way of doing this is by offering to give lectures to various societies. There are vast numbers of youth clubs, astronomical societies, womens associations etc, who cry out for talks on such an interesting field. Of course they will not come to you, unless you are very well known, and a little campaigning is needed, at least initially. It is a good idea to have printed sheets to hand out, giving details of your group and, most importantly, your address and phone number for sighting reports.

One of the most valuable means of becoming known within your environment is to utilise the media. This principally means the local press. When you first begin a letter to the paper requesting sightings will generally bring results (this often works in flap periods too). Once you are established it is very much in your interests to GO AND SEE the newspapers and establish a rapport. REMEMBER - they are out to entertain and will want to decorate any UFO story with a certain amount of human interest. In their eyes that means little green men or silver suited Venusians. You MUST, and this is very important, impress on them right away the seriousness of your group and your scrupulous scientific approach. It is best to err on the side of exaggeration in this respect. It is obviously of value for you to have a story printed, BUT it is far, far better to decline if you are not POSITIVE it will present you in a fair light. Some newspapers and/or reporters are best avoided like the plague.

It is perfectly possible to achieve a good relationship with the press, so that they come to you for comments on any incident reported. If they are sure that you will not pass off every light in the sky as a Martian mothership so much the better. When they feature a story don't wait get in touch with them IMMEDIATELY. The next day is too late - the story will be history (ie forgotten). You will have to be prepared to go to some effort to track down the reporter, then the witness. It is

up to you to do this work NOT the newspaper.

In more populated regions there may well be both BBC and independant local radio. Expansion of these over coming years will provide a useful avenue. A usual programme type is the 'phone-in' where the public ring through sightings for explanation or ask general questions. Group representatives, individuals and even sceptics can appear with you. It is a marvelous source of raw sighting material. It is also entertaining to the radio station and they will probably ask you back. This is important because it is no good having an all out barrage and then going to sleep for six months. In those six months you may miss an important case.

Relationships with more official bodies, eg police etc will feature later since they will not often report sightings to you. Your name should be on file and they should be acquainted with your attitudes, however. When a sighting is reported to you two of your first ports of call should be local police and airports. If you wait a week to seek cooperative reports they will be filed under a pile of more 'urgent' paper work and nobody, understandably, will spend time searching for information they probably view as worthless anyway. Remember rule number one SPEED IS VITAL

NEXT MONTH:- Categorising Sighting Reports for investigation

INVESTIGATIONS SECTION:

Soil samples have been collected from the Rainhill possible landing site (see Aug issue). If any group has facilities for analysis and would like some of the sample let us know.

THE OCT/NOV FLAP:

..... The period of a few days around the turn of these two months provided a large number of UFO reports. Thanks to the NUFON system it has rapidly been able to correlate these and build up the picture of a flap, which was not caused by any amount of press coverage. Oct 28th seems a key date. Apart from the Barton case (see p.13) there were at least three others. At 11.53 (an hour later) a lady in Birmingham reported to UFOSIS that a silver/white sphere had appeared at about 400' and hovered silently about $\frac{1}{3}$ mile away. It was the apparant size of a chimney pot. After 30 seconds it just dematerialised. MUFORA dealt with a second case that day when at 19-05 a white light, the apparant size of a tennis ball streaked across the sky E-W silently. Only one

craft could seemingly account for this, Lear Jet which left for Dublin at that time, but this is by no means certain. Fifty minutes later LAPRO were on the scene of a multi-witness case at the St Matthews estate, Leicester. Six witnesses observed an object like a 50p piece with lights of various colours (red, white and blue predominant). The size at arms length was certainly large, varying up to a "glass table" or a "car"! It moved slowly NW-SE rising upwards and vanished either in line of sight or by vanishing. On Oct 30th the same group were back in action when children reported a flashing red light. They went out to investigate and at 21-00 saw a red pulsing light themselves. The next day at Runcorn NAPRA have a report from two witnesses at 20-03. A red flashing light, rotating, the size of 1p at arms length moved slowly and silently, at about 15,000' N-NW. Within hours, at 03-30 on Nov 1st, a very lucid young witness in North Manchester reports to MUFORA an orange oval (the colour of a safety jacket) with a black patch underneath. It moved very slowly eastwards and was the size of a 1p. Finally at noon comes a report from NOTTINGHAM of a formation of silver discs flying N-S very fast.


NORTHERN IRELAND REPORT:: T.Miles Johnston BUFORA N.I.

..... It seems as if after the previously recorded flap died down the UFOs transferred themselves to Ireland. Early in the evening of Nov 1st an object was spotted at both Lurgan and Larne. At 22-30 that night twelve witnesses from Londonderry and surrounding areas saw a formation of five silvery ovals. There was no official explanation for these. On Nov 3, as Mr Johnston was appearing on TV, two yellow egg shapes streaked across the sky near Donaghadee. They illuminated the sky for three minutes. Again there were a dozen witnesses and no explanation. The Met. Office were "baffled". There were other reports around this time, 19-00, also. One report comes from two teenagers whose transistor suddenly stopped as three orange balls hovered in the sky. When they vanished it came on again. It is hoped a full report of this will be made. The Northern Ireland branch have done investigation work into some of the cases and it is possible that the Nov 1st 'formation' was the Queens Air Squadron. This has not yet been confirmed.

✓ THE TILDSLEY PHOTO CASE:: MUFORA Investigation

REPORT:
..... The sighting was notified to MUFORA by a Mr Doward of Astley, Lancs, following the Open Door programme. It occurred on an unknown dull afternoon in September 1974. The witness noted a round metallic object moving quite fast W-E. At first he thought it was an aircraft but as it approached the round shape remained. He quickly took a photograph. The object slowed and altered to a somewhat more oval shape. It then speeded up again and two further pictures, thirty seconds apart were taken before it moved out of sight. The film was left undeveloped for several months and when developed the witness was surprised to find two other objects similarly shown alongside the first.

INVESTIGATION:
..... The photographs are somewhat disappointing in the sense that only a faint white dot is visible with no structure at all. It is quite clear from the three photographs that they were taken very close together since there is very little cloud movement. What there is is in the direction of motion of the dot.



The scene as depicted to the left is of modern bungalows on a housing estate. Photograph number one shows the main object in the position indicated. The possible second object is very faint, as shown. On the next shot the main object has moved a little to the right and two other objects are visible to the left and right. On the third photograph the

main objects relative motion is again to the right and the other two are upwards and to the right.

CONCLUSION:
..... Careful examination of the photographs under a hand lens reveals a large number of minute white dots identical to those already mentioned. Their appearance gives no indication of real objects of any shape and it is the opinion of those examining them that they are out of focus images of specks of dust on the camera lens. This is verified by accurate measurements of the 'motions' relative to the vertical and horizontal displacements of the fields of view on each shot. They match exactly, indicating NO real motion occurred. Whether or not any unusual object was SEEN we believe this is the explanation for the photographs.

THE BARTON CASE:: MUFORA INVESTIGATION

On the morning of October 28th at 10-15 three witnesses were driving on the A57 to the South West of Manchester towards Warrington. They had just passed Barton Airfield, a small private aerodrome. The driver spotted the object through the wind screen and stopped the car pointing it out. It seemed to be hovering but when they looked closer was moving slowly NW-NE across the M62 and the Ship Canal. There was no sound heard, though the immediate road was quiet (the nearby M62 was NOT). The object at first looked like Concorde. But it was followed through 90 degrees and under no conditions of illumination did it reveal wings or tail fin. It was a smooth silver/white cylinder, like a cigar tube with a pointed nose. Investigation revealed that a large helicopter was the only airborne object in the vicinity. One of the witnesses is familiar with aircraft, having worked at Woodford, and is sure this was no conventional craft. It was in view 5 minutes and was travelling below stalling speed for an aircraft, so he believed.

YORKSHIRE FLAP:: BUFORA Yorkshire Branch

As mentioned in previous issues the mid-late September period was a busy one for BUFORA Yorks. The following is a more detailed account of the two most puzzling reports, both remaining unidentified. On September 18th a girl and her boyfriend out for a ride near Holmfirth stopped on the moors. She saw a red light underneath a distinct reddish oval shape (see A). The top and underside was hazy, but there was a distinct solid black

A::



B::



middle. On opening the car door a similar object was seen some way to the right. One seemed to be over Greenfield, Oldham and the other Woodhead. They remained stationary for fifteen minutes and were still there as they drove away. There were no aircraft in the area and optical reflections have been

ruled out. Temperature inversion conditions were present but it does not seem likely two similar mirages, widely separated, would form. Observation time 22-30. On September 22 at 05-25 a miner

was driving across Emley Moor near the TV mast (see B). A brilliant blue/white light appeared about 1000-1200' up. It suddenly seemed to swoop down and lit up the whole area, preventing the driver see the road or surrounds. It then moved away again and he drove a little further down the road. He picked up a friend there who had seen the light. They looked back and saw it disappear upwards into the sky. There were no IBA tests at the mast nor were there any air traffic so early in the day. The witness feels that he drove into a beam of light coming from the object and then out again

REPORTS IN BRIEF::

✓ JAN 28 1975 Hebden Bridge BUFORA Yorks

 A witness at a bus stop spotted an amber fuzzy ball moving W. It stopped for two seconds then moved off at 90 degrees to the N. Time 21-30.

✓ APR 21 1975 Huddersfield BUFORA Yorks

 At 23-10 a man, wife and son observed a brilliant white diamond shape in the S. It moved very slowly SW, descending over the horizon, and was in view 25 minutes. It left a glare on the horizon for three more minutes.

✓ JUN 21 1975 Wakefield/Batley BUFORA Yorks

 The witness spotted a bright light in the southern sky at 21-00. It hovered momentarily and then fell to the ground in a shower of sparks. Since the Standard Firework factory is nearby BUFORA Yorks feel this is a likely source of this.

✓ SEP 19 1975 Newcastle*U-Lyme BUFORA Staffs

 At 20-55 a young woman on the balcony of her flat saw what looked like a bright star in the north moving upwards. It stopped and illuminated a cloud brightly before moving away to the SE very fast. Its shape was now like two long oval lights coloured red and rotating, with a ball shaped glow above. It went out of sight behind stairs and when she reached a position to see it again it had gone. A man in Silverdale, one mile to the west, confirmed this report independantly.

Oct 8 1975 Runcorn NAPRA

 At 07-40 on a cold sunny morning the witness reports a red light the size of a match head moving SE. It appeared above a vapour trail and moved in a 'stepped' motion.

Oct 17 1975 Towyn, North Wales MUFORA

 At 05-00 a brilliant white light was seen over the Irish Sea very high and moving NE. It accelerated and altered course to W. In view 2½ minutes. Thirty minutes later it reappeared and followed the same procedure in reverse. At 20-25 on the same day DIGAP have a report from North Manchester which may be of interest in connection with the next case. It was a dirty yellow light moving E-W. In view 2 or 3 seconds. Could have been a fireball

Nov 1 1975 Hr. Crumpsall, Manchester MUFORA

 This case is of note since the witness, though totally independent and with no interest in UFOs lives only a few hundred yds from the scene of the previous report. He is only a teenager but very lucid and accurate in observation. He was feeling unwell in bed at 03-30 when a fluorescent orange oval with a grey/black underside moved slowly NW-SE, low down, behind his garden. It was totally silent and seemed to be rising slightly.

Nov 6 1975 Runcorn NAPRA

 On a warm sunny day at 14-45 the witness reports a dull white cigar, very high, slower than an aircraft and disappearing into cloud

Nov 6 1975 Oldham MUFORA

 At 17-00 on the same day a man in the centre looking out to the moors saw a red glow falling swiftly and leaving a trail. It swayed from side to side. Other passers by saw it too. Considering the date a firework may well be an appropriate explanation.

Nov 17 1975 Fallowfield, Manchester MUFORA

 At 21-49 two teenage girls reported to MUFORA that they had just seen a flashing white light, low and silent, moving SSW. It was in view ten minutes. The value of speedy investigation is shown, since an immediate check with Ringway revealed it was a very slow jet which had switched its landing lights on too far out. They had had several calls, but congratulated the girls on their accuracy.

ASSOCIATE GROUPS

BUFORA : 6 Cairn Avenue, London, W5 1X
CONTACT (UK) : 19 Gumnor Rd, Wootton, Boars Hill, Nr Oxford
BUFORA Staffs : Newchapel Observatory, Newchapel, Stoke
BUFORA Yorks : 8 Central Park, Wellhead, Halifax HX1 2DT
BUFORA N.Ire. : The Demesne, Lurgan, Craigavon East, N.Ireland
DIGAP : 24 Bent Fold Dr, Unsworth, Bury BL9 8NG
Edinburgh UFO : 14 Dalgety St, Edinburgh
LAPRO : 126a St Nicholas Circle, Leicester LE1 4JJ
MUFORA : 125 Baresford St, Manchester M14 4RY
MURO : 36 Bradforth Ave, Mansfield, Notts.
NAPRA : 60 Tildsley Crescent, Weston Village, Runcorn
NUFOIS : 15 Thorndale Rd, Calverton, Notts.
PULSE : 29 Bairstow St, Preston PR1 3TN
RICAP : Five Ways, The Fields, Haslingden BB4 4DT
SDRUFORA : 42 Plantation Ave, Dinnington, South Yorks.
SPRING : 5 Newfield Rd, off Windale, off Perry Rd, Sherwood
SPUR : 9 Glencoe Dr, Kettering, Northants
SUFORS : 1 Angerstein Rd Scunthorpe DN17 2LZ
UFOSIS : 136 Cleveland Towers, Holloway Circus, Birmingham 1
WUFORO : 21 Billinge Rd, North Ashton, Nr Wigan WN4 0RE
WUFOS : 760 New Chester Rd, Bromborough, Wirral L62 7HD

Consultants

Coventry : Ian Sanderson 69 High St, Ryton-on-Dunsmore CV8 3FJ
Fylde : Bryan Hartley, Westdene, 23 Hastings Rd, Thornton-Le-Fylde
Merseyside: Harold Scothern 8 Stoney View Rainhill L35 9LA
Morecambe : Ian Cresswell 12 Newlands Rd, Morecambe
Shropshire: AT Knight 29 Chestnut Dr Telford Salop

NB: THESE DETAILS COULD BE SUBJECT TO LATER ALTERATION.

DETAILS CORRECT TO JANUARY 1976

© NUFON 1976

PRESS DATE : 1st OF MONTH